UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of North Carolina

		UN	V.)		
) Case No. 3:24-mj-00302-DCK		
			David Daniel)		
			Defendant)		
			ORDER OF DET	TENTION PENDING TRIAL		
1	Upon	the	Part I –	Eligibility for Detention		
		✓ N✓ N	Motion of the Government attorney purs Motion of the Government or Court's ow	ruant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or yn motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),		
				ention is warranted. This Order sets forth the Court's findings of . § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
			Part II – Findings of Fact a	nd Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
	pres	Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable esumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person d the community because the following conditions have been met:				
			•	ne of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):		
				of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § num term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
			\Box (b) an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is life imprisonment or death; or		
			Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S	m term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Acter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or		
			(a) through (c) of this paragraph, o	een convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs r two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses ough (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal ination of such offenses; or		
			921);	e a crime of violence but involves: ssion of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and		
		(2)	the Defendant has previously been co 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offen	nvicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § se that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving		
		(3)		r; <i>ana</i> above for which the Defendant has been convicted was committed ending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>		

(4) a period of not more than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the Defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

V	B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the Defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the Defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:					
		(1)	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);			
		(2)	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;			
		(3)	an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;			
		(4)	an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or			
	V	(5)	an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.			
¥	C. (clusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above			
		•	Ithe Defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.			
			OR			
		[the Defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.			
☐ D. The Defendant Has Failed to Carry Defendant's Burden Under Rule 32.1(a)(6)						
		[the Defendant was arrested for violating probation or supervised release. Under Rule 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), the Defendant has not shown by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendant will not flee or pose a danger to any other person or to the community.			
Part III – Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention						
hea	Aft ring,	the (onsidering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention Court concludes that the Defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:			
		Ø	By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
			By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance as required.			

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

✓	Weight of evidence against the Defendant is strong
✓	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
	History of violence or use of weapons
	History of alcohol or substance abuse
	Lack of stable employment
	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties
	Lack of significant community or family ties to this District
✓	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

ADDITIONAL REASONS

The government's forecast of evidence is compelling and suggests Defendant engaged in sexual acts with two young girls in his own family. It is also alleged he took and kept photos of the genitalia of the victims. The mother of one victim (Defendant's ex-wife) appeared in court to request that Defendant not be released. Defendant also has a pending case in DC arising from the January 6 events at the Capitol. While the primary concern here is danger, the Court notes that Defendant has two siblings who reside in Mexico.

☐ The Defendant consents to detention.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The Defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the Defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

September 26, 2024

Date

David Keesler

United States Magistrate Judge